CONFORMED COPY KENNETH H. YOON (State Bar No. 198443) 1 ORIGINAL FILED STEPHANIE E. YASUDA (State Bar No. 265480) Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles BRIAN G. LEE (SBN 300990) 2 LAW OFFICES OF KENNETH H. YOON AUG 1 6 2018 3 One Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2200 Los Angeles, California 90017 4 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk Telephone: (213) 612-0988 By: Jan Josef Manrique, Deputy Facsimile: (213) 947-1211 5 DOUGLAS HAN (State Bar No. 232858) 6 SHUNT TATAVOS-GHARAJEH (State Bar No. 272164) DANIEL J. PARK (State Bar No. 274973) 7 JUSTICE LAW CORPORATION 411 North Central Avenue, Suite 500 8 Glendale, California 91203 Telephone: (818) 230-7502 9 Facsimile: (818) 230-7259 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff Emmanuel Villarin 11 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 13 EMMANUEL VILLARIN, individually and on Case No.: BC616136 behalf of all others similarly situated, 14 [Assigned for all purposes to Hon. Carolyn B. Plaintiff. Kuhl, Dept. 12] 15 ν. I ORDER GRANTING 16 MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF BHFC OPERATING LLC d.b.a. BOTTEGA **CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT** LOUIE, a limited liability company, and 17 DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, 18 Defendants. Date: August 16, 2018 19 Time: 10:00 a.m. Place: Department 12 20 21 22 RECEIVED LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT JUL 25 2018 R. NAZARYAN 23 24 25 26 27

28

2× 15

#### **ORDER**

WHEREAS Plaintiff Emmanuel Villarin ("Plaintiff" or "Class Representative") and Defendant BHFC Operating LLC d.b.a. Bottega Louie ("Defendant") (collectively, the "Parties") fully executed a Stipulation of Class Action Settlement ("Settlement Agreement" or "Agreement") to settle this class action lawsuit (the "Action"); and,

WHEREAS the Court entered an Order dated April 6, 2018 (the "Preliminary Approval Order"), preliminarily approving the Settlement pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and ordering notice be sent to Class Members, scheduling a Final Approval and Fairness Hearing for August 16, 2018 at 10:00 am, and providing Class Members with an opportunity to participate in the Settlement or exclude themselves from the Class, and/or object to the proposed Settlement; and,

WHEREAS the Court held a Final Approval and Fairness Hearing on August 16, 2018, to determine whether to give final approval to the proposed Settlement; and

WHEREAS the Court makes the following combined findings of fact and conclusions of law in support of approval of the proposed Settlement.

WHEREAS, the following Class Members, have opted out of the total Class:

Dora Cerda, Beatriz Bravo, Patricio Dela Cruz, Norma Reyes Zepeda

NOW, THEREFORE, based on the submissions of the Parties, upon reviewing all prior proceedings, and on the evidence adduced at the Final Approval and Fairness Hearing, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

- 1. Incorporation of Other Documents. This Final Approval Order incorporates herein the Settlement. Unless otherwise provided herein, all capitalized terms in this Final Approval Order shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement.
- 2. Jurisdiction. Because adequate notice has been disseminated and all Class Members have been given the opportunity to opt-out of the instant Action, the Court has personal jurisdiction with respect to the claims of all Class Members. The Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over the instant Action, including jurisdiction to approve the proposed Settlement and grant final certification of the Class.
  - 3. Adequacy of Representation. Kenneth H. Yoon and Stephanie Yasuda of Law Offices

of Kenneth H. Yoon; Douglas Han, Shunt Tatavos-Gharajeh and Daniel Park, Justice Law Corporation; and the Class Representatives have fully and adequately represented the Class for purposes of entering into and implementing the Settlement.

- 4. Class Notice. The Court finds that the Notice Packet and its distribution to Class Members, along with the follow-up measures set forth in the Settlement, have been implemented pursuant to the Settlement and this Court's Preliminary Approval Order and that they:
- (a) constitute the best practicable notice to Class Members under the circumstances of the Action;
- (b) constitute notice that was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Class Members of (i) the pendency of the Action, (ii) the terms and conditions of the Settlement, their rights under the Settlement, and instructions on how to receive payment (including opting-in to the FLSA portion of the Settlement) or challenge the employment information used to calculate their Individual Settlement Payments, and the timetable for submission of a dispute, (iii) their right to exclude themselves from the Class and the proposed Settlement; (iv) their right to object to any aspect of the proposed Settlement (including final certification of the Class, the fairness, reasonableness and adequacy of the proposed Settlement, the adequacy of the Class' representation by the Class Representatives and Class Counsel, and/or the award of attorneys' fees and costs and the Service Awards to the Class Representatives), (v) their right to appear at the Final Approval and Fairness Hearing, either on their own behalf or through counsel hired at their own expense, if they did not exclude themselves from the Class, and (vi) the binding effect of the Orders in the Action, whether favorable or unfavorable, on all persons who do not request exclusion from the Class;
- (c) constitute notice that was reasonable, adequate and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to be provided with notice;
  - (d) constitute notice that fully satisfied the requirements of due process; and
- (e) this Settlement will have no binding effect upon, and provide no *res judicata* preclusion to, those individuals who timely requested exclusion from the Class.
- 5. Final Settlement Approval. The terms and provisions of the Settlement have been entered into in good faith, and are the product of arms-length negotiations by experienced counsel who

have done a meaningful investigation of the claims in the dispute. The Settlement and all of its terms are fully and finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of each of the Parties and Settlement Class Members. The Parties are hereby directed to implement and consummate the Settlement according to its terms and provisions.

- 6. Binding Effect. The terms of the Settlement and this Final Approval Order are binding on the Class Members, as well as their heirs, executors and administrators, successors and assigns, and those terms shall have *res judicata* and other preclusive effect in all pending and future claims, lawsuits or other proceedings maintained by or on behalf of any such persons, to the extent those claims, lawsuits or other proceedings involve matters that were or could have been raised in the Action and are encompassed by the release of Qualified Claimants' and Class Representative/Plaintiff's Released mains set forth in the Settlement. However, Class Members who do not affirmatively opt-in to the FLSA portion of the Settlement will not be bound by the Settlement as to the alleged violations of the FLSA.
- 7. Releases. Class Members shall be bound by the release of Qualified Claimants' and Class Representative/Plaintiff's Released Claims provided in the Settlement, which is incorporated herein in all respects. The release of Qualified Claimants' and Class Representative/Plaintiff's Released Claims is effective contingent upon the Final Effective Date. The Court expressly adopts all defined terms in the Settlement and the release of Qualified Claimants' and Class Representative/Plaintiff's Released Claims, including but not limited to the following definition(which is set forth at Section 2.38 of the Settlement):

"Qualified Claimants' and Class Representative/Plaintiff's Released Claims" means all claims, wage and hour claims, rights, demands, liabilities and causes of action of any nature or description, whether known or unknown, arising during the Class Period, that were asserted or could have been asserted in the Action against Defendant based on the facts alleged, under federal, state, or local laws, and/or ordinances, or tort or contract theories, whether known or unknown, and whether anticipated or unanticipated, including without limitation statutory, constitutional, contractual or common law claims for wages, damages, unpaid costs, penalties, liquidated damages, interest, attorneys' fees, litigation costs, restitution, equitable relief or other relief under Business & Professions Code section 17200, et seq., including the following categories: (a) failure to pay the minimum wages; (b) failure to pay employees for all hours worked, including but not limited to any claim for minimum, straight time, or overtime wages; (c) failure to pay overtime wages; (d) failure to properly provide meal periods and/or authorize and permit rest periods, to

28

CBK

pay premiums for missed, late, short or interrupted meal and/or rest periods, or to pay such premiums as required by Labor Code section 226.7; (e) failure to keep accurate records or to issue proper wage statements to employees; (f) failure to timely pay wages, including but not limited to any claim that Defendants violated Labor Code sections 201 or 202, and any claim for waiting time penalties under Labor Code section 203; (g) unfair business practices in violation of Business and Professions Code sections 17200, et seq. arising out of any or all of the aforementioned claims; and (h) penalties pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA") of 2004; and (i) any and all claims that could be asserted under the FLSA arising out of any or all of the aforementioned claims. This release excludes the release of claims not permitted by law. FLSA claims will only be released subject to compliance with Section 6.20, below, by Plaintiff and Qualified Claimants.

- 8. Enforcement of Settlement. Nothing in this Final Approval Order shall preclude any action to enforce the terms of the Settlement.
- Attorneys Fees and Expenses. Class Counsel are hereby awarded attorneys' fees of \$196,666.67 Class Counsel are hereby awarded reimbursement of actual litigation costs in the amount of \$ 20,000.00 Such fees and expenses are to be paid pursuant to the conditions set forth in the Settlement. Consistent with the Settlement, Defendant shall not be required to pay for any other attorneys' fees and expenses, costs or disbursements incurred by Class Counsel or any other counsel representing the Class Representatives, Class Members, or incurred by the Class Representatives, or Class Members, or any of them, in connection with or related in any manner to the Action, the Settlement, the administration of such Settlement, and/or the Qualified Claimants' and Class Representative/Plaintiff's Released Claims.
- 10. Class Representative Service Award. The Court finds that Enhancement Payment in \$ 10,000.00 the amount of \$15,000.00 to be paid by Defendant to the Class Representatives for service and assistance to the Class in the Action, is reasonable and appropriate.
- 11. Settlement Administration Costs. The Court finds that Settlement Administration Costs in the amount of \$20,000.00 to be paid by Defendant to the Settlement Administrator is reasonable and appropriate. Settlement Administration Costs are to be paid pursuant to the conditions set forth in the Settlement.
- 12. Modification of Settlement Agreement. The Parties are hereby authorized, upon approval of the Court, to agree to and adopt such amendments to, and modifications and expansions

of, the Settlement, as are in writing and signed by the Parties' counsel and are consistent with this Final Approval Order and do not limit the rights of Settlement Class Members under the Settlement.

- 13. Retention of Jurisdiction. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Final Approval Order. This Court expressly retains jurisdiction as to all matters relating to the administration, consummation, enforcement and interpretation of the Settlement and of this Final Approval Order, and for any other necessary purpose, including, without limitation:
- (a) enforcing the terms and conditions of the Settlement and resolving any disputes, claims or causes of action in the Action that, in whole or in part, are related to or arise out of the Settlement or this Final Approval Order;
- (b) entering such additional orders as may be necessary or appropriate to protect or effectuate the Court's Final Approval Order, and permanently enjoining Settlement Class Members from initiating or pursuing related proceedings, or to ensure the fair and orderly administration of this settlement; and
- (c) entering any other necessary or appropriate orders to protect and effectuate this Court's retention of continuing jurisdiction.
- 14. No Admissions. Neither this Final Approval Order and Judgment nor the Settlement (nor any other document referred to here, nor any action taken to carry out this Final Approval Order) is, may be construed as, or may be used as, an admission or concession by or against Defendant of the validity of any claim or any actual or potential fault, wrongdoing or liability. Entering into or carrying out the Settlement, and any negotiations or proceedings related to it, shall not be construed as, or deemed to be evidence of, an admission or concession as to Defendant's denials or defenses and shall not be offered or received in evidence in any action or proceeding against any party hereto in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal for any purpose whatsoever, except as evidence of the settlement or to enforce the provisions of this Final Approval Order and the Settlement; provided, however, that this Final Approval Order and the Settlement may be filed in any action against or by Defendant to support a defense of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, release, waiver, good-faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, full faith and credit, or any other theory of claim preclusion, issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.

1	BK	l5. Per Cali	fornia Rule	es of Court. F	Rule 3	.771(b), CP	T Group In	c is direc	ted to post the
2	final judgment, once entered, on its website.								
3		T IS SO ORDE						filed	by
4					,		N B. KUHL,	may JUDGE	14,2018
5	Dated:	Aug. 16	2018		15	COMPLEX	CIVIL LITTIC	MOITAE	
6					F J	Ion. Carolyn udge of the (	ı B. Kuhl California Sı	aperior Co	urt
7								• • 4	
8									
9									
10									
11	ļ								
12									
13									
14									
15							•		
16									· ·
17	<u>.</u>							• •	
18						÷			
19						· ·			. •
20									
21									
22 23									•
23									
25	<u> </u>								
26									
27									•
28									
۵۵ ا									

1	FROOF OF SERVICE								
1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )								
2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES ) ss.								
3	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action; my business address is One Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2200, Los Angeles, California 90017.								
5	On July 25, 2018 I served the following document(s) described as:								
6	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS								
7	ACTION SETTLEMENT								
8	on all interested parties in this action by placing true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as shown on the attached mailing list.								
9	[ ] (BY FACSIMILE)								
10	I am readily familiar with the business practices of this office. The telephone number of the facsimile machine I used was (213) 489-9961. This facsimile machine complies with Rules 2003(3) of the								
11	California Rules of Court. Upon transmission, no error was reported by the facsimile machine and a printed copy of the machine's transmission record indicating that the transmission was successfully								
12	completed is attached to this declaration.								
13	[ ] By having copies personally delivered to the designated party(ies).								
14	BY MAIL) I am familiar with my employer's mail collection and processing practices; know that mail is collected and deposited with the United States Postal Services on the same day it is deposited in interoffice mail.								
15	and know that postage thereon is fully prepaid.								
16	[X] (BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE)  Based on a court order to accept service by electronic means, I caused a true and correct copy of the								
17 18	document(s) to be served electronically on counsel of record by transmission to an e-service provide designated by the Court.								
19	[ ] (BY FEDERAL EXPRESS COURIER)								
20	I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for Federal Express delivery. Under that practice it would be deposited with the Federal Express Courier								
21	on that same day at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business.								
22	[X] (State) I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.								
23	[] (Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.								
24	Executed on July 25, 2018, at Los Angeles, California.								
25	$Q_{i}$ $A$								
26	GABRIELLA I. MAYNETTO								
27									
28									

# Emmanuel Villarin v. BHFC Operating LLC Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC616136

# SERVICE LIST

3

4

2

1

### **Attorneys for Defendant**

Lauren J. Katunich
 Allison S. Wallin
 RAINES FELDMAN LLP
 1800 Avenue of the Stars, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor
 Los Angeles, California 90067

Telephone: (310) 440-4100 Facsimile: (310) 860-2624

9

8

# <u>State of California – Department</u>

Of Industrial Relations
(DIR's Website for Filing and Service)

10 11

12

Department of Industrial Relations Private Attorneys General Act

Filing Website: https://dir.tfaforms.net/129

San Francisco, CA 94102 E-Mail: PAGAinfo@dir.gov

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

# **Attorneys for Plaintiff**

Douglas Han <a href="mailto:dhan@justicelawcorp.com">dhan@justicelawcorp.com</a>
Shunt Tatavos-Gharajeh
Daniel J. Park
JUSTICE LAW CORPORATION
411 N. Central Avenue, Suite 500
Glendale, California 91203
Telephone: (818) 230-7502

Facsimile: (818) 230-7259